arXiv:0812.3632v3 [math.PR] 13 Dec 2009

Optimal detection of inhomogeneous segment of observations in a stochastic sequence

Wojciech Sarnowski [a,*] Krzysztof Szajowski [a]

[a] *Wrocław University of Technology, Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland*

Abstract

We register a random sequence constructed based on Markov processes by switching between them. At unobservable random moment a change in distribution of observed sequence takes place. Using probability maximizing approach the optimal stopping rule for detecting the disorder is identified. Some explicit solution for example is also obtained. The result is generalization of Bojdecki's model where before and after the change independent processes are observed. **Keywords.** Disorder problem, sequential detection, optimal stopping, Markov process, change point.

1 Introduction

This paper deals with a special problem belonging to the wide class of disorder problems. Suppose that the process $X = \{X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, is observed sequentially. It is obtained from Markov processes by switching between them at random moment θ in such a way that the process after θ starts from the state $X_{\theta-1}$. Our objective is to detect this moment based on observation of X. There

⋆ 13 December 2009

* Corresponding author

Email addresses: Wojciech.Sarnowski@pwr.wroc.pl (Wojciech Sarnowski), Krzysztof.Szajowski@pwr.wroc.pl (Krzysztof Szajowski).

URLs: http://www.im.pwr.wroc.pl/~sarnowski (Wojciech Sarnowski), http://neyman.im.pwr.wroc.pl/~szajow (Krzysztof Szajowski).

are some papers devoted to the discrete case of such disorder detection which generalize in various directions the basic problem stated by Shiryaev in [9] (see e.g. Brodsky and Darkhovsky [5], Bojdecki [3], Bojdecki and Hosza [4], Yoshida [15], Szajowski [11,12]).

Such model of data appears in many practical problems of the quality control (see Brodsky and Darkhovsky [5], Shewhart [8] and in the collection of the papers [2]), traffic anomalies in networks (in papers by Dube and Mazumdar [6], Tartakovsky et al. [13]), epidemiology models (see Baron [1]). The aim is to recognize the moment of the change the probabilistic characteristics of the phenomenon.

Typically, disorder problem is limited to the case of switching between sequences of independent random variables (see Bojdecki [3]). Some developments of basic model can be found in [14] where the optimal detection rule of switching moment has been obtained when the finite state-space Markov chains is disordered. Moustakides [7] formulates condition which helps to reduce problem of quickest detection for dependent sequences before and after the change to the case of independent processes. Our result is generalization of results obtained by Bojdecki in [3]. It admits Markovian dependence structure for switched sequences (with possibly uncountable state-space). We obtain an optimal rule under probability maximizing criterion.

Formulation of the problem can be found in Section 2. The main result is presented in Section 3. Section 4 provides example of application for considered model. In appendix we derive useful formulas for conditional probabilities.

2 Formulation of the problem

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ be a probability space which supports sequence of observable random variables $\{X_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ generating filtration $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, X_1, ..., X_n)$. The sequence takes values in $(\mathbb{E}, \mathcal{B})$, where $\mathbb{E}$ is a subset of $\Re$. Space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ supports also unobservable (hence not measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_n$) variable θ which has geometrical distribution:

$$\mathbf{P}(\theta = j) = p^{j-1}q,\ q = 1 - p \in (0, 1),\ j = 1, 2, ... \tag{1}$$

For $x \in \mathbb{E}$ we introduce also two homogeneous Markov processes $(X_n^0, \mathcal{G}_n^0, \mathbf{P}_x^0)$, $(X_n^1, \mathcal{G}_n^1, \mathbf{P}_x^1)$ (both independent on θ), which are connected with $\{X_n\}$ and θ by the following equation:

$$X_n = X_n^0 \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\{\theta > n\}} + X_n^1 \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\{X_{\theta-1}^1 = X_{\theta-1}^0, \theta \le n\}}. \tag{2}$$

We have that: $\mathcal{G}_n^i = \sigma(X_0^i, X_1^i, \ldots, X_n^i)$, $i \in \{0,1\}$, $n \in \{0,1,2,\ldots\}$. On $(\mathbb{E}, \mathcal{B})$ for $x \in \mathbb{E}$ there are defined σ-additive measures $\mu(.)$ and μ_x^i $(i = 0,1)$ satisfying following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_x^i(\{\omega : X_1^i \in B\}) &= \mathbf{P}(X_1^i \in B | X_0^i = x) = \int_B f_x^i(y)\mu(dy) \\ &= \int_B \mu_x^i(dy) = \mu_x^i(B).\end{aligned}$$

for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

Let us now define function S, G

$$S(\underline{x}_{0,n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p^{i-1} q L_{n-i+1}(\underline{x}_{0,n}) + p^n L_0(\underline{x}_{0,n}), \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}G(\underline{x}_{n-l-1,n}, \alpha) &= \alpha L_{l+1}(\underline{x}_{n-l-1,n}) + (1-\alpha) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{i=0}^{l} p^{l-i} q L_{i+1}(\underline{x}_{n-l-1,n}) + p^{l+1} L_0(\underline{x}_{n-l-1,n}) \right).\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{E}^{n+1}, \alpha \in [0,1], 0 \leq n-l-1 < n$. Here we use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{x}_{k,n} &= (x_k, x_{k+1}, ..., x_{n-1}, x_n),\ k \leq n, \\ L_m(\underline{x}_{k,n}) &= \prod_{r=k+1}^{n-m} f_{x_{r-1}}^0(x_r) \prod_{r=n-m+1}^{n} f_{x_{r-1}}^1(x_r), \\ \underline{A}_{k,n} &= \times_{i=k}^{n} A_i = A_k \times A_{k+1} \times \ldots \times A_n,\ A_i \in \mathcal{B}\end{aligned}$$

where the convention that $\prod_{i=j_1}^{j_2} x_i = 1$ for $j_1 > j_2$ holds.

Function $S(\underline{x}_{0,n})$ stands for join density of vector $\underline{X}_{0,n}$. For any $\underline{D}_{0,n} = \{\omega : \underline{X}_{0,n} \in \underline{B}_{0,n}, B_i \in \mathcal{B}\}$ and any $x \in \mathbb{E}$ we have:

$$\mathbf{P}_x(\underline{D}_{0,n}) = \mathbf{P}(\underline{D}_{0,n} | X_0 = x) = \int_{\underline{B}_{0,n}} S(\underline{x}_{0,n}) \mu(d\underline{x}_{0,n})$$

The meaning of function $G(\underline{x}_{k,n}, \alpha)$ will be clear in the sequel.

Shortly speaking our model assumes that process $\{X_n\}$ is obtained by switching at random and unknown instant θ between two Markov processes $\{X_n^0\}$ and $\{X_n^1\}$. Notice that what we assume here is that the first observation X_θ after the change depends on the previous sample $X_{\theta-1}$ through the transition pdf $f_{X_{\theta-1}}^1(X_\theta)$. During

on-line observation of $\{X_n\}$ we aim in detection of switching time θ in optimal way, according to the maximum probability criterium. For any fixed $d \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ we look for the stopping time $\tau^* \in \mathcal{T}$ such that

$$\mathbf{P}_x(|\theta - \tau^*| \leq d) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}^X} \mathbf{P}_x(|\theta - \tau| \leq d) \tag{5}$$

where $\mathfrak{S}^X$ denotes the set of all stopping times with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Using parameter d we control the precision level of detection. The most rigorous case: $d = 0$ will be studied in details.

3 Solution of the probblem

Let us define:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n &= \mathbf{P}_x(|\theta - n| \leq d \mid \mathcal{F}_n),\ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \\ V_n &= \operatorname{ess} \sup_{\{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{X}},\, \tau \geq \mathrm{n}\}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{x}}(|\theta - \mathrm{n}| \leq \mathrm{d} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{n}}),\ \mathrm{n} = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \\ \tau_0 &= \inf\{n : Z_n = V_n\} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Notice that, if $Z_\infty = 0$, then $Z_\tau = \mathbf{P}_x(|\theta - \tau| \leq d \mid \mathcal{F}_\tau)$ for $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}^X$. Since $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\tau$ (when $n \leq \tau$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_n &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \geq \mathrm{n}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{x}}(|\theta - \tau| \leq \mathrm{d} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{n}}) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \geq \mathrm{n}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathbb{I}_{\{|\theta - \tau| \leq \mathrm{d}\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{n}}) \\ &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \geq \mathrm{n}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{Z}_\tau \mid \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{n}}) \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma ensures existence of the solution

Lemma 1 *The stopping time τ_0 defined by formula (6) is the solution of problem (5).*

PROOF. From the theorems presented in [3] it is enough to show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Z_n = 0$. For all natural numbers n, k, where $n \geq k$ we have:

$$Z_n = \mathbf{E}_x(\mathbb{I}_{\{|\theta - n| \leq d\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_n) \leq \mathbf{E}_x(\sup_{j \geq k} \mathbb{I}_{\{|\theta - j| \leq d\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_n)$$

From Levy's theorem $\limsup_{n\to\infty} Z_n \leq \mathbf{E}_x(\sup_{j\geq k} \mathbb{I}_{\{|\theta - j| \leq d\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_\infty)$ where $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n\right)$. It is true that: $\limsup_{j\geq k,\, k\to\infty} \mathbb{I}_{\{|\theta - j| \leq d\}} = 0$ *a.s.* and by the dominated convergence theorem we get

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbf{E}_x(\sup_{j \geq k} \mathbb{I}_{\{|\theta - j| \leq d\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_\infty) = 0 \ \ a.s.$$

what ends the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 2 *Let τ be a stopping rule in the problem (5). Then rule $\tilde{\tau} = \max(\tau, d+1)$ is at least as good as τ.*

PROOF. For $\tau \geq d+1$ rules $\tau, \tilde{\tau}$ are the same. Let us consider the case when $\tau < d+1$. We have $\tilde{\tau} = d$ and given the fact that $\mathbf{P}_x(\theta \geq 1) = 1$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_x(|\theta - \tau| \leq d) &= \mathbf{P}_x(\tau - d \leq \theta \leq \tau + d) \\
&= \mathbf{P}_x(1 \leq \theta \leq \tau + d) \\
&\leq \mathbf{P}_x(1 \leq \theta \leq 2d + 1) \\
&= \mathbf{P}_x(\tilde{\tau} - d \leq \theta \leq \tilde{\tau} + d) \\
&= \mathbf{P}_x(|\theta - \tilde{\tau}| \leq d).
\end{aligned}$$

In consequence we can limit the class of possible stopping rules to $\mathfrak{S}_{d+1}^X$ i.e. stopping times equal at least $d+1$.

For further considerations let us define posterior process:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pi_0 = 0, \\
&\Pi_n = \mathbf{P}_x\left(\theta \leq n \mid \mathcal{F}_n\right), \; n = 1, 2, \ldots
\end{aligned}$$

which is designed for information about distribution of disorder instant θ. Next lemma transforms payoff function to the more convenient form.

Lemma 3 *Let*

$$h(\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha) = \left(1 - p^d + q \sum_{m=1}^{d+1} \frac{L_m(\underline{x}_{1,d+2})}{p^m L_0(\underline{x}_{1,d+2})}\right)(1 - \alpha), \tag{7}$$

where $x_1, ..., x_{d+2} \in \mathbb{E}, \alpha \in (0,1)$ then

$$\mathbf{P}_x(|\theta - n| \leq d) = \mathbf{E}_x\left[h(\underline{X}_{n-1-d,n}, \Pi_n)\right]$$

PROOF. We rewrite initial criterion as the expectation

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_x(|\theta - n| \leq d) &= \mathbf{E}_x\left[\mathbf{P}_x(|\theta - n| \leq d \mid \mathcal{F}_n)\right] \\
&= \mathbf{E}_x\left[\mathbf{P}_x(\theta \leq n + d \mid \mathcal{F}_n) - \mathbf{P}_x(\theta \leq n - d - 1 \mid \mathcal{F}_n)\right]
\end{aligned}$$

Probabilities under expectation can be transformed to the convenient form using lemmata 9 and 6. Next, with the help of Lemma 10 (putting $l = d$) we can express

$\mathbf{P}_x(\theta \leq n+d \mid \mathcal{F}_n)$ in terms of Π_n. Given this some straightforward calculations imply that:

$$\mathbf{P}_x(|\theta - n| \leq d \mid \mathcal{F}_n) = \left(1 - p^d + q \sum_{m=1}^{d+1} \frac{L_m(\underline{X}_{n-d-1,n})}{p^m L_0(\underline{X}_{n-d-1,n})}\right)(1 - \Pi_n).$$

Lemma 4 *Process* $\{\eta_n\}_{n \geq d+1}$ *where* $\eta_n = (\underline{X}_{n-d-1,n}, \Pi_n)$ *forms a random Markov function.*

PROOF. According to Lemma 17 pp 102-103 in [10] it is enough to show that η_{n+1} is a function of previous stage η_n and variable X_{n+1} and that conditional distribution of X_{n+1} given $\mathcal{F}_n$ is a function of η_n. For $x_1, ..., x_{d+3} \in \mathbb{E}, \alpha \in (0,1)$ let us consider a function

$$\varphi(\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha, x_{d+3}) = \left(\underline{x}_{2,d+3}, \frac{f^1_{x_{d+2}}(x_{d+3})(q + p\alpha)}{G(\underline{x}_{d+2,d+3}, \alpha)}\right)$$

We will show that $\eta_{n+1} = \varphi(\eta_n, X_{n+1})$. Notice that by Lemma 10 ($l = 0$) we get

$$\Pi_{n+1} = \frac{f^1_{X_n}(X_{n+1})(q + p\Pi_n)}{G(\underline{X}_{n,n+1}, \Pi_n)}. \tag{8}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(\eta_n, X_{n+1}) &= \varphi(\underline{X}_{n-d-1,n}, \Pi_n, X_{n+1}) \\
&= \left(\underline{X}_{n-d,n}, X_{n+1}, \frac{f^1_{X_n}(X_{n+1})(q + p\Pi_n)}{G(\underline{X}_{n,n+1}, \Pi_n)}\right) \\
&= \left(\underline{X}_{n-d,n+1}, \Pi_{n+1}\right) = \eta_{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Define $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_n = \sigma(\theta, \underline{X}_{0,n})$. To see that conditional distribution of X_{n+1} given $\mathcal{F}_n$ is a function of η_n, for any Borel function $u : \mathbb{E} \longrightarrow \Re$ let us consider the conditional expectation of $u(X_{n+1})$ given $\mathcal{F}_n$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}_x(\, u\,(X_{n+1}) \mid \mathcal{F}_n) \\
&\quad = \mathbf{E}_x\left(u(X_{n+1})(1-\Pi_{n+1}) \mid \mathcal{F}_n\right) + \mathbf{E}_x\left(u(X_{n+1})\Pi_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_n\right) \\
&\quad = \mathbf{E}_x\left(u(X_{n+1})\mathbb{I}_{\{\theta>n+1\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_n\right) + \mathbf{E}_x\left(u(X_{n+1})\mathbb{I}_{\{\theta\le n+1\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_n\right) \\
&\quad = \mathbf{E}_x\left(\mathbf{E}_x(u(X_{n+1})\mathbb{I}_{\{\theta>n+1\}} \mid \hat{\mathcal{F}}_n) \mid \mathcal{F}_n\right) + \mathbf{E}_x\left(\mathbf{E}_x(u(X_{n+1})\mathbb{I}_{\{\theta\le n+1\}} \mid \hat{\mathcal{F}}_n) \mid \mathcal{F}_n\right) \\
&\quad = \mathbf{E}_x\left(\mathbb{I}_{\{\theta>n+1\}}\mathbf{E}_x(u(X_{n+1}) \mid \hat{\mathcal{F}}_n) \mid \mathcal{F}_n\right) + \mathbf{E}_x\left(\mathbb{I}_{\{\theta\le n+1\}}\mathbf{E}_x(u(X_{n+1}) \mid \hat{\mathcal{F}}_n) \mid \mathcal{F}_n\right) \\
&\quad = \int_{\mathbb{E}} u(y) f^0_{X_n}(y)\mu(dy)\mathbf{P}_x(\theta > n+1 \mid \mathcal{F}_n) + \int_{\mathbb{E}} u(y) f^1_{X_n}(y)\mu(dy)\mathbf{P}_x(\theta \le n+1 \mid \mathcal{F}_n) \\
&\quad = \int u(y)(p(1-\Pi_n)f^0_{X_n}(y) + (q+p\Pi_n)f^1_{X_n}(y))\mu(dy) = \int u(y)G(X_n, y, \Pi_n)\mu(dy)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we use Lemma A.1.

Lemmata 3 and 4 are crucial for the solution of posed problem (5). They show that initial problem can be reduced to the problem of stopping Markov random function $\eta_n = (\underline{X}_{n-d-1,n}, \Pi_n)$ with the payoff given by equation (7). In consequence we can use tools of optimal stopping theory for finding stopping time τ^* such that

$$
\mathbf{E}_x\left[h(\underline{X}_{\tau^*-1-d,\tau^*}, \Pi_{\tau^*})\right] = \sup_{\tau\in\mathfrak{S}^X_{d+1}} \mathbf{E}_x\left[h(\underline{X}_{\tau-1-d,\tau}, \Pi_\tau)\right] \tag{9}
$$

To solve reduced problem (9) for any Borel function $u : \mathbb{E}^{d+2} \times [0,1] \longrightarrow \Re$ let us define operators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{T}u(\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha) = \mathbf{E}_x\left[u(\underline{X}_{n-d,n+1}, \Pi_{n+1}) \mid \underline{X}_{n-1-d,n} = \underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \Pi_n = \alpha\right], \\
&\mathbf{Q}u(\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha) = \max\{u(\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha), \mathbf{T}u(\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha)\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5 *For the payoff function $h(\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha)$ characterized by (7) and for sequence $\{r_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_0(\underline{x}_{1,d+1}) &= p\left[1 - p^d + q\sum_{m=1}^{d+1}\frac{L_{m-1}(\underline{x}_{1,d+1})}{p^m L_0(\underline{x}_{1,d+1})}\right], \\
r_k(\underline{x}_{1,d+1}) &= p\int_{\mathbb{E}} f^0_{x_{d+1}}(x_{d+2})\max\left\{1 - p^d + q\sum_{m=1}^{d+1}\frac{L_m(\underline{x}_{1,d+2})}{p^m L_0(\underline{x}_{1,d+2})}; r_{k-1}(\underline{x}_{2,d+2})\right\}\mu(dx_{d+2}).
\end{aligned}
$$

the following formulas hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{Q}^k h_1(\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha) = (1-\alpha)\max\left\{1 - p^d + q\sum_{m=1}^{d+1}\frac{L_m(\underline{x}_{1,d+2})}{p^m L_0(\underline{x}_{1,d+2})}; r_{k-1}(\underline{x}_{2,d+2})\right\},\ k \ge 1, \\
&\mathbf{T}\ \mathbf{Q}^k h_1(\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha) = (1-\alpha) r_k(\underline{x}_{2,d+2}),\ k \ge 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

PROOF. By the definition of operator $\mathbf{T}$ and using Lemma A.5 ($l = 0$) given that $(\underline{X}_{n-d-1,n}, \Pi_n) = (\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}\ h(\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha) &= \mathbf{E}_x \left[h(\underline{X}_{n-d,n+1}, \Pi_{n+1}) \mid \underline{X}_{n-d-1,n} = \underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \Pi_n = \alpha \right] \\
&= \mathbf{E}_x \left[\left(1 - p^d + q \sum_{m=1}^{d+1} \frac{L_m(\underline{X}_{n-d,n+1})}{p^m L_0(\underline{X}_{n-d,n+1})} \right) (1 - \Pi_{n+1}) \mid \underline{X}_{n-d-1,n} = \underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \Pi_n = \alpha \right] \\
&= p(1-\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{E}} \left(1 - p^d + q \sum_{m=1}^{d+1} \frac{L_{m-1}(\underline{x}_{2,d+2})}{p^m L_0(\underline{x}_{2,d+2})} \frac{f^1_{x_{d+2}}(x_{d+3})}{f^0_{x_{d+2}}(x_{d+3})} \right) \frac{f^0_{x_{d+2}}(x_{d+3}) G(\underline{x}_{d+2,d+3}, \alpha)}{G(\underline{x}_{d+2,d+3}, \alpha)} \mu(dx_{d+3}) \\
&= p(1-\alpha) \left[1 - p^d + q \sum_{m=1}^{d+1} \int_{\mathbb{E}} \frac{L_{m-1}(\underline{x}_{2,d+2})}{p^m L_0(\underline{x}_{2,d+2})} f^1_{x_{d+2}}(x_{d+3}) \mu(dx_{d+3}) \right] \\
&= (1-\alpha) p \left[1 - p^d + q \sum_{m=1}^{d+1} \frac{L_{m-1}(\underline{x}_{2,d+2})}{p^m L_0(\underline{x}_{2,d+2})} \right] = (1-\alpha) r_0(\underline{x}_{2,d+2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Directly from the definition of $\mathbf{Q}$ results that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Q}h(\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha) &= \max \left\{ h(\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha);\ \mathbf{T}h(\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha) \right\} \\
&= (1-\alpha) \max \left\{ 1 - p^d + q \sum_{m=1}^{d+1} \frac{L_m(\underline{x}_{1,d+2})}{p^m L_0(\underline{x}_{1,d+2})};\ r_0(\underline{x}_{2,d+2}) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that Lemma 5 holds for $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{Q}^{k-1}h$ and $\mathbf{Q}^k h$ for some $k > 1$. Then using similar transformation as in the case of $k = 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{T}\,\mathbf{Q}^k\, h(\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha) \\
&\quad = \mathbf{E}_x \left[\mathbf{Q}^k h(\underline{X}_{n-d,n+1}, \Pi_{n+1}) \mid \underline{X}_{n-d-1,n} = \underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \Pi_n = \alpha \right] \\
&\quad = \int_{\mathbb{E}} \left[\max \left\{ 1 - p^d + q \sum_{m=1}^{d+1} \frac{L_m(\underline{x}_{2,d+3})}{p^m L_0(\underline{x}_{2,d+3})}; r_{k-1}(\underline{x}_{3,d+3}) \right\} (1-\alpha) p f^0_{x_{d+2}}(x_{d+3}) \right] \mu(dx_{d+3}) \\
&\quad = (1-\alpha) r_k(\underline{x}_{2,d+2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{Q}^{k+1}h\ (\ \underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha) \\
&\qquad = \max \left\{ h(\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha);\ \mathbf{T}\mathbf{Q}^k h(\underline{x}_{1,d+2}, \alpha) \right\} \\
&\qquad = (1-\alpha) \max \left\{ 1 - p^d + q \sum_{m=1}^{d+1} \frac{L_m(\underline{x}_{1,d+2})}{p^m L_0(\underline{x}_{1,d+2})};\ r_k(\underline{x}_{2,d+2}) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

The following theorem is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1

(a) The solution of problem (5) is given by:

$$\tau^* = \inf\{n \geq d+1 : 1 - p^d + q \sum_{m=1}^{d+1} \frac{L_m(\underline{X}_{n-d-1,n})}{p^m L_0(\underline{X}_{n-d-1,n})} \geq r^*(\underline{X}_{n-d,n})\} \quad (10)$$

where $r^*(\underline{X}_{n-d,n}) = \lim_{k \longrightarrow \infty} r_k(\underline{X}_{n-d,n})$

(b) Value of the problem. Given $X_0 = x$ *maximal probability for (5) is equal to*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}_x \ (\ |\theta - \tau^*| \leq d) \\
&\quad = p^{d+1} \int_{\mathbb{E}^{d+1}} \max \left\{ 1 - p^d + q \sum_{m=1}^{d+1} \frac{L_m(x, \underline{x}_{1,d+1})}{p^m L_0(x, \underline{x}_{1,d+1})}; r^*(\underline{x}_{1,d+1}) \right\} \\
&\qquad \times L_0(x, \underline{x}_{1,d+1}) \mu(d(x, \underline{x}_{1,d+1})).
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Part (a). According to Lemma 2 we look for stopping time equal at least $d+1$. From optimal stopping theory (c.f [10]) we know that τ_0 defined by (6) can be expressed as

$$\tau_0 = \inf\{n \geq d+1 : h(\underline{X}_{n-1-d,n}, \Pi_n) \geq \mathbf{Q}^* h(\underline{X}_{n-1-d,n}, \Pi_n)\}$$

where $\mathbf{Q}^* h(\underline{X}_{n-1-d,n}, \Pi_n) = \lim_{k \longrightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Q}^k h(\underline{X}_{n-1-d,n}, \Pi_n)$. According to Lemma 5:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_0 &= \inf \left\{ n \geq d+1 : 1 - p^d + q \sum_{m=1}^{d+1} \frac{L_m(\underline{X}_{n-d-1,n})}{p^m L_0(\underline{X}_{n-d-1,n})} \right. \\
&\qquad \left. \geq \max\{1 - p^d + q \sum_{m=1}^{d+1} \frac{L_m(\underline{X}_{n-d-1,n})}{p^m L_0(\underline{X}_{n-d-1,n})}; \ r^*(\underline{X}_{n-d,n})\} \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ n \geq d+1 : 1 - p^d + q \sum_{m=1}^{d+1} \frac{L_m(\underline{X}_{n-d-1,n})}{p^m L_0(\underline{X}_{n-d-1,n})} \geq r^*(\underline{X}_{n-d,n}) \right\} \\
&= \tau^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Part (b). Basing on known facts from optimal stopping theory we can write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}_x\ (\ |\theta-\tau^*|\le d)\\
&\quad=\mathbf{E}_x\left(h_1^\star(\underline{X}_{0,d+1},\Pi_{d+1})\right)\\
&\quad=\mathbf{E}_x\left((1-\Pi_{d+1})\max\left\{1-p^d+q\sum_{m=1}^{d+1}\frac{L_m(\underline{X}_{0,d+1})}{p^mL_0(\underline{X}_{0,d+1})};\ r^\star(\underline{X}_{1,d+1})\right\}\right)\\
&\quad=\mathbf{E}_x\left(\mathbf{E}_x(\mathbb{I}_{\{\theta>d+1\}}\mid\mathcal{F}_{d+1})\max\left\{1-p^d+q\sum_{m=1}^{d+1}\frac{L_m(\underline{X}_{0,d+1})}{p^mL_0(\underline{X}_{0,d+1})};\ r^\star(\underline{X}_{1,d+1})\right\}\right)\\
&\quad=\mathbf{E}_x\left(\mathbb{I}_{\{\theta>d+1\}}\max\left\{1-p^d+q\sum_{m=1}^{d+1}\frac{L_m(\underline{X}_{0,d+1})}{p^mL_0(\underline{X}_{0,d+1})};\ r^\star(\underline{X}_{1,d+1})\right\}\right)\\
&\quad=\mathbf{P}_x(\theta>d+1)\int_{\mathbb{E}^{d+1}}\max\left\{1-p^d+q\sum_{m=1}^{d+1}\frac{L_m(x,\underline{x}_{1,d+1})}{p^mL_0(x,\underline{x}_{1,d+1})};r^*(\underline{x}_{1,d+1})\right\}\\
&\qquad\times L_0(x,\underline{x}_{1,d+1})\mu_{x_d}(d(x,\underline{x}_{1,d+1}))
\end{aligned}
$$

What ends the proof.

4 Example

Let us consider the case $d=0$. Then, optimal rule (10) reduces to simpler form

$$\tau^*=\inf\{n\ge 1: \frac{f^1_{X_{n-1}}(X_n)}{pf^0_{X_{n-1}}(X_n)}\ge r^*(X_n)\}$$

with

$$r^*(X_n)=p\int_{\mathbb{E}}f^0_{X_n}(u)\max\{\frac{f^1_{X_n}(u)}{pf^0_{X_n}(u)},r^*(u)\}d\mu(u)$$

Moreover suppose that the state space $\mathbb{E}=\{0,1\}$. Matrices of transition probabilities and conditional densities are as follow

$$\left[\mu_i^0(j)\right]_{j=0,1}^{i=0,1}=\begin{bmatrix}0.1 & 0.9\\ 0.8 & 0.2\end{bmatrix},\quad \left[\mu_i^1(j)\right]_{j=0,1}^{i=0,1}=\begin{bmatrix}0.7 & 0.3\\ 0.4 & 0.6\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\left[f_i^0(j)\right]_{j=0,1}^{i=0,1}=\begin{bmatrix}1 & 1\\ 1 & 1\end{bmatrix},\quad \left[f_i^1(j)\right]_{j=0,1}^{i=0,1}=\begin{bmatrix}7 & 1/3\\ 1/2 & 3\end{bmatrix}$$

For such model we find threshold $r^*(i)$, $i = 0, 1$ solving the system of equations

$$r^*(i) = \sum_{j=0,1} pf_i^0(j) \max\{\frac{f_i^1(j)}{pf_i^0(j)}, r^*(j)\}\mu(j);\ i = 0, 1$$

Treating r^* as a function of parameter p we obtain:

$$r_p^*(0) = \mathbf{1}_{[0,p_1]}(p) + \frac{7+9p}{10}\mathbf{1}_{(p_1,p_2]}(p) + \frac{35+27p}{50-36p^2}\mathbf{1}_{(p_2,p_3]}(p) + \frac{35-7p}{50-10p-36p^2}\mathbf{1}_{(p_3,1]}(p)$$
$$r_p^*(1) = \mathbf{1}_{[0,p_2]}(p) + \frac{30+28p}{50-36p^2}\mathbf{1}_{(p_2,p_3]}(p) + \frac{14p}{25-50-18p^2}\mathbf{1}_{(p_3,1]}(p)$$

where: $p_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $p_2 = \frac{\sqrt{229}-7}{18}$, $p_3 = \frac{\sqrt{20625}-15}{136}$. The most interesting case takes the place when $p > p_3 \approx 0,946$ because then the average disorder time is not too small. Obtained stopping rule $\tau^\star$ depends on observations collected at times $\tau^\star - 1$ and $\tau^\star$. Thus, to make optimal rule more clear we need to analyze all possible sequences of $(X_{\tau^\star-1}, X_{\tau^\star})$ i.e. $\{0,0\}$, $\{0,1\}$, $\{1,0\}$, $\{1,1\}$.

Sequence $\{0,0\}$:
In this case we stop if only $\frac{7}{p} \geq \frac{35-7p}{50-10p-36p^2}$. Solving the inequality for p, we get that stopping time takes the place for all $p \in (p_3, 1)$.

Sequence $\{0,1\}$:
It reduces to inequality $\frac{1}{3p} \geq \frac{14p}{25-50p-18p^2}$. Taking into account that $p \in (p_3, 1)$ a set of solutions is empty.

Sequence $\{1,0\}$:
Pair $\{1,0\}$ implies the stopping time if $\frac{7}{p} \geq \frac{35-7p}{50-10p-36p^2}$. However there is no solution for $p \in (p_3, 1)$.

Sequence $\{1,1\}$:
This sequence rises the alarm if only $\frac{3}{p} \geq \frac{14p}{25-50p-18p^2}$. It turns out that the inequality is satisfied for any $p \in (p_3, 1)$.

The analysis shows that we obtain very clear and simple optimal rule for case $p > p_3$: **stop at the first moment when two "zeros" or two "ones" occur in a row**.

A Lemmata

Lemma 6 *Let $n > 0$, $k \geq 0$ then:*

$$\mathbf{P}_x(\theta \leq n + k \mid \mathcal{F}_n) = 1 - p^k(1 - \Pi_n). \tag{A.1}$$

PROOF. It is enough to show that for $D \in \mathcal{F}_n$

$$\int_D \mathbb{I}_{\{\theta>n+k\}} d\mathbf{P}_x = \int_D p^k (1-\Pi_n) d\mathbf{P}_x.$$

Let us define $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_n = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_n, \mathbb{I}_{\{\theta>n\}})$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_D \mathbb{I}_{\{\theta>n+k\}} d\mathbf{P}_x &= \int_D \mathbb{I}_{\{\theta>n+k\}}\mathbb{I}_{\{\theta>n\}} d\mathbf{P}_x = \int_{D\cap\{\theta>n\}} \mathbb{I}_{\{\theta>n+k\}} d\mathbf{P}_x \\ &= \int_{D\cap\{\theta>n\}} \mathbf{E}_x(\mathbb{I}_{\{\theta>n+k\}} \mid \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_n) d\mathbf{P}_x = \int_{D\cap\{\theta>n\}} \mathbf{E}_x(\mathbb{I}_{\{\theta>n+k\}} \mid \theta>n) d\mathbf{P}_x \\ &= \int_D \mathbb{I}_{\{\theta>n\}} p^k d\mathbf{P}_x = \int_D (1-\Pi_n) p^k d\mathbf{P}_x\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7 *For $n>0$ the following equality holds:*

$$\mathbf{P}_x\,(\,\theta>n \mid \mathcal{F}_n) = 1-\Pi_n = \frac{p^n L_0(\underline{X}_{0,n})}{S(\underline{X}_{0,n})}. \tag{A.2}$$

PROOF. Put $\underline{D}_{0,n} = \{\omega : \underline{X}_{o,n} \in \underline{A}_{0,n}, A_i \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_x(\underline{D}_{0,n})\mathbf{P}_x\,(\,\theta>n|\underline{D}_{0,n}) &= \int_{\underline{D}_{0,n}} \mathbb{I}_{\{\theta>n\}} d\mathbf{P}_x = \int_{\underline{D}_{0,n}} \mathbf{P}_x(\theta>n|\mathcal{F}_n) d\mathbf{P}_x \\ &= \int_{\underline{A}_{0,n}} \frac{p^n L_0(\underline{x}_{0,n})}{S(\underline{x}_{0,n})} S(\underline{x}_{0,n}) \mu(d\underline{x}_{0,n}) = \int_{\underline{D}_{0,n}} \frac{p^n L_0(\underline{X}_{0,n})}{S(\underline{X}_{0,n})} d\mathbf{P}_x\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by definition of conditional expectation, we get the thesis.

Lemma 8 *For $\underline{x}_{0,l+1} \in \mathbb{E}^{l+2}$, $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and functions S, G given by equations (3) and (4) we have:*

$$S(\underline{X}_{0,n}) = S(\underline{X}_{0,n-l-1}) G(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}, \Pi_{n-l-1}) \tag{A.3}$$

PROOF. By (A.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(\ \underline{X}_{0,n-l-1})&G(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n},\Pi_{n-l-1})\\
&= S(\underline{X}_{0,n-l-1})\Pi_{n-l-1}L_{l+1}(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}) + S(\underline{X}_{0,n-l-1})(1-\Pi_{n-l-1})\\
&\quad\times\left(\sum_{k=0}^{l} p^{l-k}qL_{k+1}(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}) + p^{l+1}L_0(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n})\right)\\
&\overset{(A.2)}{=}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-l-1} p^{k-1}qL_{n-l-k}(\underline{X}_{0,n-l-1})\right)L_{l+1}(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}) + p^{n-l-1}L_0(\underline{X}_{0,n-l-1})\\
&\quad\times\left(\sum_{k=0}^{l} p^{l-k}qL_{k+1}(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}) + p^{l+1}L_0(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n})\right)\\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n-l-1} p^{k-1}qL_{n-k+1}(\underline{X}_{0,n}) + \sum_{k=0}^{l} p^{n-k-1}qL_{k+1}(\underline{X}_{0,n}) + p^nL_0(\underline{X}_{0,n})\\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n-l-1} p^{k-1}qL_{n-k+1}(\underline{X}_{0,n}) + \sum_{k=n-l}^{n} p^{k-1}qL_{n-k+1}(\underline{X}_{0,n}) + p^nL_0(\underline{X}_{0,n})\\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n} p^{k-1}qL_{n-k+1}(\underline{X}_{0,n}) + p^nL_0(\underline{X}_{0,n}) = S(\underline{X}_{0,n}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 9 *For $n > l \geq 0$ the following equation is satisfied:*

$$
\mathbf{P}_x(\theta \leq n-l-1 \mid \mathcal{F}_n) = \frac{\Pi_{n-l-1}L_{l+1}(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n})}{G(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n},\Pi_{n-l-1})}.
$$

PROOF. Let $\underline{D}_{0,n} = \{\omega : \underline{X}_{o,n} \in \underline{A}_{0,n}, A_i \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_x\ (\ \underline{D}_{0,n})&\mathbf{P}_x(\theta > n-l-1|\underline{D}_{0,n}) = \int_{\underline{D}_{0,n}} \mathbb{I}_{\{\theta>n-l-1\}}d\mathbf{P}_x = \int_{\underline{D}_{0,n}} \mathbf{P}_x(\theta > n-1|\mathcal{F}_n)d\mathbf{P}_x\\
&= \int_{\underline{A}_{0,n}} \frac{\sum_{k=n-l}^{n}\mathbf{P}_x(\theta=k)L_{n-k+1}(\underline{x}_{0,n}) + \mathbf{P}_x(\theta>n)L_0(\underline{x}_{0,n})}{S(\underline{x}_{0,n})}S(\underline{x}_{0,n})\mu(d\underline{x}_{0,n})\\
&= \int_{\underline{A}_{0,n}} \frac{p^{n-l-1}L_0(\underline{x}_{0,n-l-1})\left(\sum_{k=0}^{l}p^{l-k}qL_{k+1}(\underline{x}_{n-l-1,n}) + p^{l+1}L_0(\underline{x}_{n-l-1,n})\right)}{S(\underline{x}_{0,n})}\\
&\quad\times S(\underline{x}_{0,n})\mu(d\underline{x}_{0,n})\\
&= \int_{\underline{D}_{0,n}} \frac{p^{n-l-1}L_0(\underline{x}_{0,n-l-1})\left(\sum_{k=0}^{l}p^{l-k}qL_{k+1}(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}) + p^{l+1}L_0(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n})\right)}{S(\underline{X}_{0,n})}d\mathbf{P}_x\\
&\overset{(A.3)}{=} \int_{\underline{D}_{0,n}} \frac{p^{n-l-1}L_0(\underline{x}_{0,n-l-1})\left(\sum_{k=0}^{l}p^{l-k}qL_{k+1}(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}) + p^{l+1}L_0(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n})\right)}{S(\underline{X}_{0,n-l-1})G(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n},\Pi_{n-l-1})}d\mathbf{P}_x\\
&\overset{(A.2)}{=} \int_{\underline{D}_{0,n}} (1-\Pi_{n-l-1})\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{l}p^{l-k}qL_{k+1}(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}) + p^{l+1}L_0(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n})}{G(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n},\Pi_{n-l-1})}d\mathbf{P}_x
\end{aligned}
$$

What implies that:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{P}_x\ (\ \theta > n-l-1|\mathcal{F}_n) \\ &\quad = (1-\Pi_{n-l-1})\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{l} p^{l-k} q L_{k+1}(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}) + p^{l+1} L_0(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n})}{G(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}, \Pi_{n-l-1})}\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

Simple transformations of (A.4) lead to the thesis.

Lemma 10 *For $n > l \geq 0$ recursive equation holds:*

$$\Pi_n = \frac{\Pi_{n-l-1} L_{l+1}(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}) + (1-\Pi_{n-l-1}) q \sum_{k=0}^{d} p^{l-k} L_{k+1}(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n})}{G(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}, \Pi_{n-l-1})} \tag{A.5}$$

PROOF. With the aid of (A.2) we get:

$$\frac{1-\Pi_n}{1-\Pi_{n-l-1}} = \frac{p^n L_0(\underline{X}_{0,n})}{S(\underline{X}_{0,n})} \frac{S(\underline{X}_{0,n-l-1})}{p^{n-l-1} L_0(\underline{X}_{0,n-l-1})} = \frac{p^{l+1} L_0(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n})}{G(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}, \Pi_{n-l-1})}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_n &= \frac{G(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}, \Pi_{n-l-1}) - p^{n-l-1} L_0(\underline{X}_{0,n-l-1})(1-\Pi_{n-l-1})}{G(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}, \Pi_{n-l-1})} \\ &= \frac{\Pi_{n-l-1} L_{l+1}(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}) + (1-\Pi_{n-l-1}) q \sum_{k=0}^{d} p^{l-k} L_{k+1}(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n})}{G(\underline{X}_{n-l-1,n}, \Pi_{n-l-1})}.\end{aligned}$$

noindent

References

[1] M. Baron, *Early detection of epidemics as a sequential change-point problem.*, in *Longevity, aging and degradation models in reliability, public health, medicine and biology, LAD 2004. Selected papers from the first French-Russian conference, St. Petersburg, Russia, June 7–9, 2004*, IMS Lecture Notes-Monograph Series, Vol. 2, St. Petersburg State Politechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia, 2004, pp. 31–43.

[2] M. Basseville and A. Benveniste (eds.), *Detection of abrupt changes in signals and dynamical systems*, *Proceedings of a Conference on Detection of Abrupt Changes in Signals and Dynamical Systems, Paris, March 21-22, 1984*, Lecture

Notes in Control and Information Sciences, Vol. 77, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986, p. 373.

[3] T. Bojdecki, *Probability maximizing approach to optimal stopping and its application to a disorder problem*, Stochastics 3 (1979), pp. 61–71.

[4] T. Bojdecki and J. Hosza, *On a generalized disorder problem*, Stochastic Processes Appl. 18 (1984), pp. 349–359.

[5] B. Brodsky and B. Darkhovsky, *Non-parametric statistical diagnosis. Problems and methods.*, Mathematics and its Applications vol. 243, Kluwer Academic Publishers. 224 p., Dordrecht 1993.

[6] P. Dube and R. Mazumdar, *A Framework for Quickest Detection of Traffic Anomalies in Networks*, Tech. rep., Electrical and Computer Engineering, Purdue University, 2001, citeseer.ist.psu.edu/506551.html.

[7] G.V. Moustakides, *Quickest detection of abrupt changes for a class of random processes.*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 44 (1998), pp. 1965–1968.

[8] W. Shewhart, *Economic control of quality of manufactured products*, D. Van Nostrand, Yew York 1931.

[9] A. Shiryaev, *The detection of spontaneous effects*, Sov. Math, Dokl. 2 (1961), pp. 740–743, translation from Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 138, 799-801 (1961).

[10] A. Shiryaev, *Optimal Stopping Rules*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin 1978.

[11] K. Szajowski, *Optimal on-line detection of outside observation*, J.Stat. Planning and Inference 30 (1992), pp. 413–426.

[12] K. Szajowski, *A two-disorder detection problem*, Appl. Math. 24 (1996), pp. 231–241.

[13] A.G. Tartakovsky, B.L. Rozovskii, R.B. Blažek, and H. Kim, *Detection of intrusions in information systems by sequential change-point methods*, Stat. Methodol. 3 (2006), pp. 252–293.

[14] B. Yakir, *Optimal detection of a change in distribution when the observations form a Markov chain with a finite state space.*, in *Change-point Problems. Papers from the AMS-IMS-SIAM Summer Research Conference held at Mt. Holyoke College, South Hadley, MA, USA, July 11–16, 1992*, IMS Lecture Notes-Monograph Series, Vol. 23, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, California, 1994, pp. 346–358.

[15] M. Yoshida, *Probability maximizing approach for a quickest detection problem with complicated Markov chain*, J. Inform. Optimization Sci. 4 (1983), pp. 127–145.